Alexis de Tocqueville (1805–1859) was a French intellectual and politician who has been variously considered as historian, sociologist, and political theorist. His most well-known work is *Democracy in America* (1835–1840), a classic study not only of democracy in America but of democracy itself. Another key work is *The Old Regime and the Revolution* (1856), where he analyzes the origins of the French Revolution and discusses how equality and freedom can be reconciled. In these writings, Tocqueville makes use of his extraordinary ability to observe social and political life to inaugurate the methodical study of how individual choice and cultural mores are intertwined with the broad structures of society, politics, and the economy. Tocqueville was not only a man of letters, however; he was also a man of action. Indeed, for much of his life, Tocqueville was involved in French politics. In political office, he supported a number of liberal causes (including abolitionism) as well as the French colonization of Algeria.

Tocqueville's canonization as a sociological classic is mainly due to his two-volume *Democracy in America* (2004). Sociologists have found in this analysis of the early years of the American Republic a seminal source of inspiration for the study of contemporary American society and politics. The key sociological contribution of *Democracy* is the observation that America functions under an “equality of conditions,” which affects all aspects of daily life. In mid-twentieth-century America, this was often interpreted as a prescient critical analysis of the challenges of mass democracy. As American society changed in the 1970s and the postwar liberal consensus was increasingly called into question, the interest of sociologists in Tocqueville’s work began to dwindle (Silva and Vieira, 2019). By contrast, in political science Tocqueville’s status as a classic thinker of democracy was never in question. For example, in the early 2000s neoconservative political philosophers (e.g., Mansfield, 2010) found in *Democracy* a seemingly inexhaustible source of intellectual ammunition against left-wing liberalism. In recent years, however, sociologists have joined political scientists in responding to Tocqueville’s call for a “new political science” that would work to resolve the inherent paradoxes of democratic societies. Some have found in *Democracy*’s denunciation of slavery a key precursor of the study of the American Republic from the perspective of race relations (Margree and Bhambra, 2011). Others have tried to mine *Democracy* for methodological lessons for current generations of social scientists interested in countering the excesses of methodological holism (Elster, 2009). Others still have been exploring anew Tocqueville’s original preoccupation with the role of participation in public life either by restricting it to membership (and legitimacy) based on personal interaction (Putnam, 2000), or by considering it more broadly as a combination of social participation and shared values (Clark, 2014). Tocqueville’s writings have provided sociologists with an enduring source of inspiration for the analysis of many crucial social problems – slavery, revolution, inequality, individualism, materialism, religion, colonialism, and many others – since the nineteenth century and promise to continue to do so well into the twenty-first century.
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